



Purpose of the Review, Policy Framework and Previous Decisions

Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to provide the Health & Wellbeing Board (HWB) with a detailed approach and timeframes for the review of the Joint Local Health and Wellbeing Board Strategy (JLHWS).

Background



The 10 Year JLHWS was approved by HWB in 2022 and it was agreed that a review will take place every 3 years to ensure the priorities and commitments remain relevant



At a HWB Development Session in 2023 it was agreed the life course approach was the right approach and it aligns with the LLR HWP Integrated Care Strategy



HWB agreed the key focus of the JLHWS review would be on the 80 commitments within the life course and would commence in February 2025 and conclude in September 2025


Background



Joint Local Health & Wellbeing Strategy
(JLHWS) 2022-2032

The strategy comprises of **4** strategic priorities (that takes a life course approach), **3** Cross-cutting strategic priorities, **10** sub-priorities and **80** commitments

JOINT LOCAL HEALTH AND WELLBEING STRATEGY (JLHWS)



Life Course Priorities

Life Course Sub-Priorities

Life Course Commitments

CPF
Children & Family Partnership

SHP
Staying Healthy Partnership

IE
Integration Executive

MH
Mental Health

Inequalities

Covid Recovery

Best Start for Life

Staying Healthy, Safe & Well

Living & Supported Well

Dying Well

Cross-cutting

A. First 1001 Critical Days

B. School Readiness

C. Preparing for Life

D. Building Strong Foundations

E. Enabling Healthy Choices & Environments

F. Upscaling Prevention & Self-Care

G. Effective Mgt. of Frailty & Complex Care

H. Understanding Need

I. Effective Transitions

J. Normalising EoL Planning

K. Improved Mental Health

L. Reducing Health Inequalities

M. Covid-19 Recovery

A. 1-6

B. 1-6

C. 1-8

D. 1-11

E. 1-9

F. 1-5

G. 1-10

H. 1-2

I. 1-3

J. 1-5

K. 1-6

L. 1-4

M. 1-5

Governance, Guiding Principles, JSNA latest data

115

Purpose of the Review



- The purpose of the review is to ensure the strategy remains relevant and effective in addressing current and emerging health and wellbeing priorities.
- By evaluating commitments against the latest data and other key evidence, the review will identify whether these commitments remain appropriate.
- It will also evaluate cross-cutting priorities to assess their relevance whilst providing an opportunity to incorporate new priorities.

Proposed Approach



Evaluate existing 80 life course commitments and 3 cross-cutting priorities and associated commitments




Use most up-to-date data and insights available from JSNAs and any changes in local health & wellbeing priorities. To determine whether commitments/cross-cutting priorities require updating, removing or remain unchanged



Provide an opportunity to introduce new commitments and cross cutting priorities where gaps or emerging needs are evident



All decisions must be supported by evidence and a clear rationale



Membership, ToR and governance of the HWB and its partnership groups will also be reviewed to ensure they are aligned with the new proposals and strategic direction

Proposed scope of the Review

IN SCOPE

- Narrative and data throughout the strategy that requires updating
- Guiding Principles within the current strategy
- Best Start for Life Commitments (A. 1-6, B. 1-6 & C. 1-8)
- Staying Healthy Safe & Well Commitments (D. 1-11, E. 1-9)
- Living & Supported Well Commitments (F. 1-5, G. 1-10)
- Dying Well Commitments (H. 1-2, I. 1-3, J. 1-5)
- Mental Health (Cross-cutting) Priority and Commitments (K. 1-6)
- Inequalities (Cross-cutting) Priority and Commitments (L. 1-4)
- COVID 19 Recovery (Cross-cutting) Priority and Commitments (M. 1-5)
- Review governance, Terms of Reference and membership of the H&WB and Partnership Sub-groups

OUT OF SCOPE

- It is not a rewrite of the JLHWS
- Changes to Life Course strategic priorities
- The Best Start for Life sub-priorities
- The Staying Healthy, Safe and Well sub-priorities
- The Living & Supported Well sub-priorities

Proposed Guiding Principles for the Review

- ✓ Co-production & Stakeholder Engagement
- ✓ Outcome Focused
- ✓ Evidence Driven
- ✓ Integration across place & system and place & neighbourhood
- ✓ Flexibility & adaptability
- ✓ Whole population & targeted approaches

- ✓ Life Course Perspective
- ✓ Focus on Prevention
- ✓ Sustainability & Long-term thinking
- ✓ Transparency & Accountability
- ✓ Inclusivity

Proposed Governance for the Review (Agile)


The H&WB will provide strategic oversight and review, approve & formally sign off the strategy



Inform




The Steering group will oversee and co-ordinate the review process, ensuring all inputs are aligned and consolidated into a coherent strategy. Subgroups nominate reps.



The review itself will be undertaken by the subgroups of the H&WB, with each group contributing to the analysis and recommendations with clear rationale for development of specific areas of the strategy

Key Deliverables



Communication & Engagement


Proposed approach for consultation & engagement

Engage with voluntary sector partners coordinated by VAL


Consult with the Health & Overview Committee

Inform the HWP of the revised strategy following HWB approval

Resource Implications



Steering Group Membership



Comms & Engagement Planning & Activity / VAL



Sub-group Membership



BI development of revised data sets



Data Pack Example

Indicator	Latest Value	Statitiscal comparaiison to England/Goal	Change since last needs assessment
Air pollution: fine particulate matter (new method - concentrations of total PM2.5) - Not applicable, 2022	8.9 µg/m3		
Average Attainment 8 score - Persons, 2022/23	324.1 Score		
B01b - Children in relative low income families (under 16s) - Persons, 2022/23	33.6 %		
B08a - Gap in the employment rate between those with a physical or mental long term health condition (aged 16 to 64) and the overall employment rate - Persons, 2022/23	16.0 Percentage points		
B08d - Percentage of people in employment - Persons, 2023/24	624.6 %		

Statistical significance compared to England or Benchmark:

- Better
- Similar
- Worse
- Not compared


Change since last JHWS Needs assessment

- Improved
- Worsened
- Stayed the same
- Decreased

Strategy Review Timeline

Target date for approach to strategy

Target date for HWB approval of strategy



125

Recommendations

Recommendations

- Approve the suggested approach to the review of the JLHWS
- Agree the detailed plan including milestones
- Seek support/commitment from partners to input into the work
- Agree agile approach to governance including setup and launch of a JLHWS Steering Group
- Recommend subgroups nominate representatives for JLHWS Review Steering Group

Initial next steps



Approval of proposed approach & timeframe



Stakeholder Analysis



Set-up Steering Group and launch review



Production of revised JLHWS data sets



Comms & Engagement Planning

Proposed approach to the review of the JLHWS

Questions

